

## Appendix: Score Tables

Hagmann, Jonas; Stephan Davidshofer, Amal Tawfik, Andreas Wenger and Lisa Wildi (2018). 'The Programmatic and Institutional (Re-)Configuration of the Swiss National Security Field'. *Swiss Political Science Review*.

### Section A: From Military Threats to Dangers of Global Mobility

Table A1: Managed Threats

Departmental level				Agency level			
Threat	Between	Degree	AvIntensity	Threat	Between	Degree	AvIntensity
terror	102	3	1.1	migraprob	235.2	11	1.75
migraprob	59.6	4	1.63	terror	154.2	7	1.3
katas	21	2	1.4	katas	114.7	7	1.83
menschhand	4.5	2	1.3	kritinfra	56.5	6	1.35
kleinkrim	4.3	2	1.75	leibleb	40.1	8	1.76
droghand	4.3	2	1.7	militangr	29.9	5	1.76
verkehrsdel	4.3	2	1.4	kleinkrim	18.3	7	2.14
militangr	-	1	2.1	verkehrsdel	18.3	7	1.77
leibleb	-	1	1.8	droghand	18.3	7	1.76
failstatbadgov	-	1	1.7	menschhand	12.2	3	1.53
waffhand	-	1	1.5	gewextr	10.5	2	1.4
kritinfra	-	1	1.4	failstatbadgov	7	2	1.85
geldwäsch	-	1	1.2	klimawand	3	2	1.75
religradik	-	1	1.1	cyberkrim	3	2	1.25
gewextr	-	1	1.1	waffhand	1.7	2	1.45
korrup	-	1	1	geldwäsch	1.7	2	1.35
klimawand	-	1	1	pandem	1.6	2	1.4
verbotnd	-	0	<1.00	hoolig	0.6	2	1.1
prolifwmd	-	0	<1.00	verbotnd	-	1	1.8
pandem	-	0	<1.00	korrup	-	1	1.6
hoolig	-	0	<1.00	religradik	-	1	1.5
erpress	-	0	<1.00	erpress	-	1	1.4
cyberkrim	-	0	<1.00	prolifwmd	-	1	1

Note: N=594. Values based on significant ( $\Rightarrow$ 1.0) relations, ordered by betweenness>degree>intensity.

Table A2: Threat Managers

Departmental level				Agency level			
Actor	Between	Degree	AvIntensity	Agency	Between	Degree	AvIntensity
EFD	107.7	8	1.6	GWK	142.6	9	1.9
EDA	102.4	7	1.2	EDASEK	123.6	6	1.3
VBS	77	4	1.55	NDB	99.6	6	1.4
POL	31	5	1.62	DEZA	87.4	6	1.7
EJPD	2.9	2	1.3	KANTZIV	79	5	1.6
ANDBUND	-	1	1.3	STAPOGEMPO	74.2	7	1.8
				CCPD	68.3	8	1.7
				VBSGS	44	5	1.5
				BABS	33.5	5	1.6
				ZPK	21.1	6	1.8
				VBSVTG	18.1	4	1.8
				FEDPOL	9.4	2	1.1
				PKNW	7.6	5	2
				OSTPOL	7.6	5	1.7
				CCPCRBT	7.6	5	1.4
				SEM	2.6	2	2.6
				ARMA	1	2	1.9
				ANDBUND	-	1	1.3
				EZV	-	0	-

Note: N=594. Values based on significant ( $\Rightarrow$ 1.0) relations, ordered by betweenness>degree>intensity.

## Section B: Stratified Trans-Functional Cooperation across Switzerland

Table B1: Domestic Outreach

Departmental level				Agency level			
Actor	Between	Degree	AvIntensity	Actor	Between	Degree	AvIntensity
EDA	90.5	10	1.47	BABS	77.6	10	2.09
VBS	86.4	8	1.49	VBSGS	62.4	10	1.93
EFD	61.7	8	2.03	NDB	60	10	2.03
EJPD	44.9	7	1.59	GWK	59.7	7	2.69
POL	43.5	6	1.45	EDASEK	43	9	1.84
ANDBUND	-	1	1.5	KANTZIV	34.8	7	2.26
				SEM	27.1	7	1.71
				EZV	25.5	6	1.73
				FEDPOL	24.4	6	2.08
				VBSVTG	22.5	7	1.51
				CCPCRBT	19	6	1.65
				OSTPOL	19	6	1.55
				CCPD	17	5	2.16
				ARMA	10.6	5	2.08
				ZPK	9.7	5	1.64
				DEZA	8.6	4	1.45
				PKNW	6.4	4	1.54
				STAPOGEMPO	5.7	4	2.2
				ANDBUND	-	1	1.5

Note: N=594. Values based on significant ( $\Rightarrow$ 1.0) relations, ordered by betweenness>degree>intensity.

Table B2: Domestic Solicitation

Departmental level				Agency level			
Actor	Between	Degree	AvIntensity	Agency	Between	Degree	AvIntensity
fedpol	39.1	4	1.45	private	106	13	1.41
babs	23	2	1.45	fedpol	98.3	12	2.08
private	21.3	3	1.2	kapo	85.3	11	2.98
kapo	19.7	3	2.63	babs	44.4	6	1.87
ndo	14.9	3	1.63	andkant	35.7	9	1.67
sem	12.4	3	1.93	sem	26.3	6	2.07
ccpd	12.4	3	1.67	zivfeuerw	20.9	7	1.71
bj	12.4	3	1.37	ndb	18.4	6	1.93
vbsvtg	4.1	2	1.7	ccpd	16.2	6	1.78
vbsgs	4.1	2	1.65	vbsvtg	11.9	6	2.88
edasek	3.4	2	1.6	bj	9.7	5	1.66
andkant	2.1	2	1.65	deza	8.4	5	1.26
stapogempo	2.1	2	1.35	vbsgs	8.1	5	1.86
ezv	-	1	1.9	svs	7.7	5	1.7
gwk	-	1	1.6	parlkom	5.9	4	1.25
zivfeuerw	-	1	1.5	edasek	5.1	4	1.65
svs	-	1	1.4	stapogempo	3.9	4	1.88
deza	-	1	1.1	gwk	1.9	3	1.93
parlkom	-	1	1.1	ezv	-	1	2.8
andbund	-	0	-	ensi	-	1	1.8
ensi	-	0	-	andbund	-	0	-
finma	-	0	-	finma	-	0	-

Note: N=594. Values based on significant ( $\Rightarrow$ 1.0) relations, ordered by betweenness>degree>intensity.

## Section C: The Emergence of Inter-Scalar International Security Cooperation

Table C1: International Outreach by Swiss Agencies

Departmental level				Agency level			
Actor	Between	Degree	AvIntensity	Actor	Between	Degree	AvIntensity
EJPD	90.8	6	1.42	EDASEK	314.3	5	1.36
EDA	84.0	4	1.28	FEDPOL	245.0	6	1.83
VBS	31.0	3	1.18	VBSGS	83.7	5	1.12
EFD	11.3	3	2.40	VBSVTG	52.7	4	1.63
POL	4.8	2	1.60	ARMA	31.3	3	1.07
ANDBUND	-	1	2.10	BABS	31.0	2	1.45
				SEM	24.6	2	1.15
				GWK	21.7	4	2.28
				EZV	6.5	2	2.20
				ZPK	6.0	3	1.20
				CCPD	3.0	2	4.10
				CCPCRBT	3.0	2	1.95
				OSTPOL	3.0	2	1.95
				PKNW	3.0	2	1.45
				NDB	-	1	4.80
				DEZA	-	1	2.10
				ANDBUND	-	1	2.10
				STAPOGEMPO	-	1	1.10
				KANTZIV	-	-	-

Note: N=594. Values based on significant ( $\Rightarrow 1.0$ ) relations, ordered by betweenness>degree>intensity.

Table C2: Foreign Partners

Departmental level				Agency level			
Actor	Between	Degree	AvIntensity	Actor	Between	Degree	AvIntensity
spezbot	72.0	2	1.05	spezbot	259.7	3	1.17
pfp	42.0	2	1.20	polnasta	152.3	8	2.29
polnasta	29.3	3	2.03	unoag	117.0	4	1.68
unoag	16.0	2	1.85	pfp	84.5	3	1.50
eusichag	10.8	2	1.50	nato	84.5	3	1.13
zollgwk	0.8	2	2.30	eusichag	49.3	4	1.38
polandeu	-	1	1.70	armeennach	29.5	3	1.37
osze	-	1	1.40	armeenand	29.5	3	1.27
interpol	-	1	1.30	zollgwk	16.4	6	2.52
armeennach	-	1	1.30	polandeu	15.5	3	1.50
polnoneu	-	1	1.10	interpol	6.9	2	1.55
armeenand	-	1	1.10	nd	-	1	4.80
nato	-	-	1.00	osze	-	1	1.80
eva	-	-	-	ziv	-	1	1.70
nd	-	-	-	polnoneu	-	1	1.60
ziv	-	-	-	eva	-	1	1.00
eapr	-	-	-	eapr	-	1	1.00
erat	-	-	-	erat	-	-	-

Note: N=594. Values based on significant ( $\Rightarrow 1.0$ ) relations, ordered by betweenness>degree>intensity.